

**Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report
5 & 15 Tangreen Court
Toronto, Ontario**

prepared for

**CentreCourt
134 Peter Street, Suite 200
Toronto, ON M5V 2H2**

prepared by



146 Lakeshore Road West
PO Box 1267 Lakeshore W PO
Oakville ON L6K 0B3
t: 289.837.1871
e: consult@kuntzforestry.ca

26 January 2023, revised 12 February 2023, 15 February 2023, 10 March 2023

KUNTZ FORESTRY CONSULTING INC Project P3627

Introduction

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by CAPREIT 2 Limited Partnership to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan as part of a development application for the property located at 5 & 15 Tangreen Court in Toronto. The property is located at the southeast corner of Tangreen Court and Steeles Avenue West within a mixed-use area.

The work plan for this tree preservation study included the following:

- Prepare inventory of the tree resources greater than 15cm diameter at breast height (DBH) on and within six metres of the subject property, and trees of all sizes within the road right-of-ways surrounding the property;
- Evaluate potential tree saving opportunities based on proposed development plans; and
- Document the findings in a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report.

The results of the evaluation are provided below.

Policy Framework

The property is subject to the Private Tree-By-law (Chapter 813), which regulates tree injury and destruction of individual trees. Preliminary information is acquired on individual trees which are then categorized in compliance with the by-law in support of development applications (refer to Table 1). Tree categories range from one through five and are as follows:

Categories

- 1. Trees with diameters of 30 cm or more situated on private property on the subject site.*
- 2. Trees with diameters of 30 cm or more, situated on private property, within 6 m of the subject site.*
- 3. Trees of all diameters situated on City owned parkland within 6 m of the subject site.*
- 4. On lands designated under City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 658, Ravine and Natural Feature Protection, trees of all diameters within 10 metres of any construction activity.*
- 5. Trees of all diameters situated within the City road allowance adjacent to the subject site.*

Methodology

Trees over 15cm DBH on and within six metres of the subject property, and trees of all sizes within the road right-of-way were included in the inventory. Trees were located using the topographic survey provided and estimations made in-field. Trees were tagged with the numbers 134 – 242.

See Table 1 for the results of the inventory, Figure 1 for their locations, and Appendix A for photographs of trees.

Tree resources were assessed utilizing the following parameters:

Tree # - number assigned to tree that corresponds to Table 1 and Figure 1.

Species - common and botanical names provided in the inventory table.

DBH - diameter (centimetres) at breast height, measured at 1.4 m above the ground.

Dripline – Radius (metres) of crown

Condition - condition of tree considering trunk integrity, crown structure, and crown vigour. Condition ratings include poor (P), fair (F) and good (G).

Comments - additional relevant detail.

Existing Site Conditions

The subject site is currently occupied by two multi-storey brick apartment buildings with above and below ground parking, concrete walkways, and outdoor amenity spaces. Tree resources exist in the form of landscape trees and self-seeded volunteers. Refer to Figure 1 for the existing conditions.

Individual Tree Resources

The tree inventory was conducted on 17 January 2023. The inventory documented 109 trees on and within six metres of the subject property. Refer to Table 1 for the full tree inventory, Figure 1 for the location of trees reported in the tree inventory, and Appendix A for photographs of trees.

Tree resources were comprised of Siberian Elm (*Ulmus pumila*), White Spruce (*Picea glauca*), Austrian Pine (*Pinus nigra*), White Mulberry (*Morus alba*), Mountain Ash (*Sorbus spp.*), Thornless Honey Locust (*Gleditsia triacanthos inermis*), Blue Spruce (*Picea pungens*), Norway Spruce (*Picea abies*), Apple (*Malus spp.*), and White Birch (*Betula papyrifera*)

Proposed Development

The demolition of the residential building located at 5 Tangreen Court, and the construction of seven new residential towers with underground parking, outdoor amenity spaces, and walkways is proposed. A parkland dedication at the southwest corner of the property, a public road through the centre of the subject property, and streetscaping along Steeles Avenue West is also proposed. Refer to Figure 1 for the proposed site plan.

Discussion

The following sections provide a discussion and analysis of tree impacts and tree preservation relative to the proposed development and existing conditions.

Development Impacts/Tree Removals

The removal of all trees will be required to accommodate the proposed development. The removal of Trees 134 – 139, 143, 157 – 183, 193 - 198, 200 – 202, 213 – 216, 221 – 231, 233 – 238, and 240 will be required to accommodate the proposed buildings and excavation works. The removal of Trees 144, 151 – 152, 184 – 192, 239, and 241 will be required to accommodate the proposed public road and associated streetscaping. Trees 159 and 242 will need to be removed to accommodate the demolition of the existing building and asphalt parking lot. Trees 203 – 212, and 217 - 220 will need to be removed to accommodate gradings works associated with proposed streetscaping along Steeles Avenue West. Trees 157 – 158 will need to be removed to accommodate proposed grading works. Trees 146 – 156 will need to be removed to accommodate temporary surface parking. These trees require removal because they either

conflict directly with proposed demolition/construction or intrusion into their minimum tree protection zones would be too great and we would not expect them to withstand the injury.

The removal of trees 140, 145, 176, and 199 is recommended regardless of the site plan due to their condition. Trees 199 and 232 are dead.

Trees 134 – 139, 140 – 154, 157 – 175, 177 – 184, 186 – 191, 193 – 198, 200 – 232, 233 – 241 are greater than 30cm DBH and are located on the subject property (Category 1). A permit is required prior to the removal of these trees.

Since Trees 140, 145, and 232 are either dead or in poor condition, they are likely to be exempt from permit requirements.

It is presumed that the area between the proposed buildings and the property boundary on the north end of the site and the areas adjacent to the proposed public road will require complete regrading. If grading permits, trees 141, 144, 184, 192, 203 – 212, and 217 – 220 may potentially be preserved, pending more detailed site design including grading plan. Trees 157 – 158, 194, and 197 may also potentially be preserved pending a more detailed site plan.

Refer to Figure 1 for the locations of trees identified for removal.

Summary and Recommendations

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by CAPREIT 2 Limited Partnership to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan as part of a development application for the property located at 5 & 15 Tangreen Court in Toronto Ontario. A tree inventory was conducted and reviewed in the context of the proposed site plan.

The findings of the study indicate a total of 109 trees on and within six metres of the subject property. The removal of all 109 trees will be required to accommodate the proposed development. Five (5) trees are identified for removal regardless of the site plan due to their condition.

The following recommendations are suggested to minimize impact to trees identified for preservation. Refer to Figure 1 for the location of required tree preservation fencing, general Tree Protection Plan Notes, and the tree preservation fence detail.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc.

Isaac Baik

Isaac Baik, B.Sc. Conservation Biology
Ecologist, ISA Certified Arborist #ON-2685A
Email: Isaac.baik@kuntzforestry.ca
Phone: 289-837-1871 ext.20

Limitations of Assessment

Only the tree(s) identified in this report were included in the inventory. The assessment of the trees presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These may include a visual examination taken from the ground of all the above-ground parts of the tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of attack by insects, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree of lean (if any), the general condition of the trees and the identification of potentially hazardous trees or recommendations for removal (if applicable). Where trees could not be directly accessed (ie. due to obstructions, and/or on neighbouring properties), trees were assessed as accurately as possible from nearby vantage points.

Locations of trees provided in the report are determined as accurately as possible based on the best information available. If official survey information is not provided, tree location in the report may not be exact. In this case, if trees occur on or near property boundaries, an official site survey may be required to determine ownership utilizing specialized survey protocol to gain precise location.

Furthermore, recommendations made in this report are based on the site plans that have been provided at the time of reporting. These recommendations may no longer be applicable should changes be made to the site plan and/or grading, servicing, or landscaping plans following report submission.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be recognized that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigor constantly change over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions or seasonal variations in the weather conditions. Any tree will fail if the forces applied to the tree exceed the strength of the tree or its parts.

Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, the trees should be re-assessed periodically. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of inspection.

Table 1. Tree Inventory

Location: 15 & 5 Tangreen Court, Toronto											Date: 17 January 2023	Surveyors: IB
Tree#	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	TI	CS	CV	DL	CDB	mTPZ	Cat.	Comments	Action
134	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	73	F	P	F	5		4.8	1	Trunk injury (H), poor vigor (H), fruiting bodies (M)	Remove
135	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	72	G	F/P	F	5		4.8	1	Trunk injury (M), poor vigor (H), asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
136	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	67	F	F	F/P	5		4.2	1	Strangling root (M), trunk injury (M), poor vigor (M)	Remove
137	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	73	G/F	F	F	5		4.8	1	Trunk injury (H), poor form (M), poor vigor (M)	Remove
138	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	68	G	F/P	F	5	10	4.2	1	Crown dieback (L), poor vigor (M), pruning wounds (H), poor form (M)	Remove
139	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	59	G	F	F	5	10	3.6	1	Crown dieback (M), pruning wounds (M), poor vigor (M)	Remove
140	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	57	G	P	P	5		3.6	1	Topped (H), asymmetrical crown (H), poor form (H), fruiting bodies (M)	Remove (condition)
141	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	47	G	F	G	5		3	1	Union at 2m, poor form (L)	Remove
142	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	46	G	F	G	5		3	1	Union at 1.5m, poor form (L)	Remove
143	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	54	G	F	G	6		3.6	1	Union at 1.7m, poor form (L)	Remove
144	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	70	G/F	F	F	5		4.2	1	Trunk injury (M), strangling root (M), poor form (M)	Remove
145	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	62	G/F	P	F	5		4.2	1	Pruning wounds (H), poor form (H), asymmetrical crown (H), exposed roots (M)	Remove (condition)
146	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	77	G/F	F	F	5		4.8	1	Pruning wounds (H), broken branches (H), poor form (M)	Remove
147	Norway Spruce	<i>Picea abies</i>	41	G	G	G	3.5		3	1		Remove
148	Norway Spruce	<i>Picea abies</i>	37	G	G	G	3.5		2.4	1		Remove
149	Norway Spruce	<i>Picea abies</i>	31	G	G	P	3.5	70	2.4	1	Crown dieback (H)	Remove
150	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	46	G	F/P	G	5		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (M), poor form (M)	Remove
151	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	51	G	F/P	G	5		3.6	1	Union at 4m, poor form (H)	Remove
152	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	47	G	G/F	G	5		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (L)	Remove
153	Norway Spruce	<i>Picea abies</i>	42	F	F	F	2.5		3	1	Leaning (M), asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
154	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	49	G	F	G	2.5		3	1	Leaning (M), union at 2.2m, poor form (M)	Remove
155	White Birch	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	23	G/F	F/P	F	3		1.8		Leaning (M), poor form (H)	Remove
156	White Mulberry	<i>Morus alba</i>	12,12,10	F	P	F	2		1.8		Ingrown fence, co-dominant at 0.5m, poor form (H)	Remove
157	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	39	G	F	G	6		2.4	1	Union at 2m, poor form (M)	Remove

158	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	49	G	F	F	4		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (M), poor form (M)	Remove
159	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	53	G	F	G	5		3.6	1	Union at 1.5m, pruning wounds (M), poor form (M)	Remove
160	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	51	G	F	G	7		3.6	1	Poor form (M)	Remove
161	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	54	G	F	G	7		3.6	1	Union at 2.2m, poor form (M)	Remove
162	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	33	G	F/P	F	5		2.4	1	Union at 2.5m, poor form (H), pruning wounds (H)	Remove
163	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	29,22,38	G	F/P	F	4		2.4	1	Union at 1.5m, poor form (H)	Remove
164	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	43	G	P	F	7		3	1	Union at 1.4m, pruning wounds (H), poor form (H), bow ed (H)	Remove
165	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	41	G	F	F	6		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (M), pruning wounds (M)	Remove
166	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	61	G	F/P	F	5		4.2	1	Pruning wounds (H), union at 2.5m, poor form (H)	Remove
167	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	43	G	F	F	5		3	1	Union at 4m, poor form (L)	Remove
168	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	40	G	F	G/F	4		2.4	1	Union at 3m, broken branches (L)	Remove
169	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	56	G	F	G/F	4		3.6	1	Union at 3m, broken branches (L)	Remove
170	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	51	G	F	G/F	5		3.6	1	Union at 4m, asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
171	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	39	F	G/F	G/F	2.5		2.4	1	Leaning (H)	Remove
172	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	42	F	G/F	F	2.5		3	1	Bow ed (L), crown dieback (L)	Remove
173	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	30	G	G/F	G	1.5		2.4	1		Remove
174	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	30	G	G	F	1.5		2.4	1	Poor vigor (L)	Remove
175	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	30	G	G	F	1.5		2.4	1	Crown dieback (H)	Remove
176	Apple	<i>Malus spp.</i>	28	F	F	P	2.5		1.8		Crown dieback (H), union at 1.4m	Remove (condition)
177	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	81,57	F	F/P	F	10		5.4	1	Leaning (M), co-dominant at 1.2m, poor form (H)	Remove
178	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	104	G	F	G/F	8		6.6	1	Co-dominant at 1.5m, broken branches (L), poor form (M)	Remove
179	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	68	G	F	F	7		4.2	1	Union at 4m, broken branches (M), poor form (M)	Remove
180	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	75	G	F	F	7		4.8	1	Union at 4m, poor form (M)	Remove
181	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	49,42	G	F/P	G	5		3	1	Union at 1.3m, bow ed (M), poor form (M)	Remove
182	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	46	G	G	G	1.5		3	1		Remove
183	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	48	G/F	G	F/P	2	30	3	1	Crown dieback (M), leaning (L)	Remove
184	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	47	G	G/F	G	4		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (L)	Remove
185	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	29	G	P	G	3		1.8		Poor form (H), broken branches (H)	Remove
186	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	39	G	G	G	2.5		2.4	1		Remove
187	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	42	G	P	F	8		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (M), poor form (H), union at 22.2m, bow ed (H)	Remove
188	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	62	G	F	F	7		4.2	1	Union at 2.3m, broken branches (M), asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
189	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	70	G	F/P	F	7		4.2	1	Union at 3m, broken branches	Remove
190	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	39	G	F/P	F	6		2.4	1	Bow ed (M), poor form (M), dead branches (M)	Remove
191	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	59	G	F/P	F	7		3.6	1	Union at 2.7m, broken branches (M), dead branches (M)	Remove

192	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	29	G	G/F	G	1.5		1.8		Asymmetrical crown (L)	Remove
193	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	66	G	F	G/F	5		4.2	1	Union at 1.6m, dead branches (L)	Remove
194	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	60	G	F	F	5		3.6	1	Union at 2.3m, asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
195	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	48	G	F	F	6		3	1	Exposed roots (M), union at 3m, poor form (M), poor vigor (M)	Remove
196	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	57	G	F/P	F	5		3.6	1	Union at 1.6m, pruning wounds (M), broken branches (M), poor form (M)	Remove
197	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	63	G	F	F	7		4.2	1	Union at 3m, asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
198	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	58	G	F	F	6		3.6	1	Union at 2m, poor form (H), asymmetrical crown (M), pruning wounds (M)	Remove
199	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	26	-	-	-	-		-		dead	Remove (condition)
200	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	31	F	P	F	4		2.4	1	Leaning (M), topped (M), asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
201	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	54	G	F	F	4		3.6	1	Poor form (M), exposed roots (M), leaning (L)	Remove
202	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	33	F	F	F/P	2	50	2.4	1	Crown dieback (H), exposed roots (M), leaning (L)	Remove
203	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	52	G	G	G	3		3.6	1		Remove
204	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	54	G	G	G	3		3.6	1		Remove
205	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	51	G	G	G	2.5		3.6	1		Remove
206	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	57	G	G	G	2.5		3.6	1		Remove
207	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	56	G	G/F	G	3		3.6	1	Union at 1.6m	Remove
208	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	66	G/F	G/F	G	3.5		4.2	1	Union at 2m, strangling root (M)	Remove
209	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	58	G	G	G	3.5		3.6	1		Remove
210	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	61	G	G	G	3.5		4.2	1		Remove
211	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	49	G	G	G	3.5		3	1		Remove
212	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	43	G	G/F	G	3		3	1	Dead branches (L)	Remove
213	Blue Spruce	<i>Picea pungens</i>	43	G	G	G	2.5		3	1		Remove
214	Blue Spruce	<i>Picea pungens</i>	34	F	G/F	G/F	2		2.4	1	Exposed roots (H), leaning (L)	Remove
215	Blue Spruce	<i>Picea pungens</i>	37	G/F	F	G	1.5		2.4	1	Poor form (M), exposed roots (L)	Remove
216	Blue Spruce	<i>Picea pungens</i>	30	P	F	F	1.5	20	2.4	1	Exposed roots (H), bowed (H), crown dieback (M)	Remove
217	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	49	G	G	G	3		3	1		Remove
218	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	53	F	G	F/P	3	40	3.6	1	Crown dieback (M), trunk injury (H)	Remove
219	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	58	G/F	G/F	G	3		3.6	1	Strangling root (M), union at 2.1m	Remove
220	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	61	F	F/P	P	3	60	4.2	1	Crown dieback (H), fruiting bodies (H), poor form (H)	Remove
221	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	75	F	F/P	F	3		4.8	1	Trunk injury (H), pruning wounds (H), poor form (H)	Remove
222	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	72	F	F/P	F	4		4.8	1	Canker (H), trunk injury (H), poor form (M)	Remove
223	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	53	G	F/P	F	4		3.6	1	Pruning wounds (H), asymmetrical crown (M), poor form (M)	Remove
224	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	62	F	F	F	4		4.2	1	Strangling root (M), trunk injury (H)	Remove
225	Mountain Ash	<i>Sorbus spp.</i>	70	F	F	G/F	4		4.2	1	Strangling root (M), trunk injury (H)	Remove

226	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	69	G	F/P	G	7		4.2	1	Co-dominant at 1.7m, poor form (H)	Remove
227	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	61	G	F	G	9		4.2	1	Union at 2m, poor form (L)	Remove
228	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	34	G	F	G	5		2.4	1	Union at 2.5m, asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
229	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	43	G	G/F	G	8		3	1	Exposed roots (M), asymmetrical crown (L)	Remove
230	Blue Spruce	<i>Picea pungens</i>	30	G	F	F/P	2	60	2.4	1	Crown dieback (H), asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
231	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	38	G	F/P	F	4		2.4	1	Poor form (H), asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
232	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	43	-	-	-	-		-	1	dead	Remove (condition)
233	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	52	G	F	G	4		3.6	1	Union at 2m, poor form (M)	Remove
234	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	49	G	F	G	4		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (M), poor form (H)	Remove
235	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	42	G	G/F	G/F	4		3	1	Asymmetrical crown (L), poor vigor (L)	Remove
236	Austrian Pine	<i>Pinus nigra</i>	35	G	F	G	3		2.4	1	Poor form (L), asymmetrical crown (M)	Remove
237	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	36	F	G	G	1.5		2.4	1	Leaning (H)	Remove
238	White Spruce	<i>Picea glauca</i>	42	F	G/F	G	2		3	1	Leaning (M), epicormic branching (L)	Remove
239	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	47	G	G/F	G	5		3	1	Union at 1.6m	Remove
240	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	36	G	G/F	G	4		2.4	1	Asymmetrical crown (L), poor vigor (L)	Remove
241	Thornless Honey Locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos inermis</i>	36	G/F	G/F	G	4		2.4	1	Leaning (L)	Remove
242	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	28	G/F	F	G	4		1.8		Bowed (L), poor form (L)	Remove

Codes		
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height	(cm)
TI	Trunk Integrity	(G, F, P)
CS	Crown Structure	(G, F, P)
CV	Crown Vigor	(G, F, P)
CDB	Crown dieback	%
~ = Estimate, (VL) = very light, (L) = light, (M) = moderate, (H) = heavy		

Appendix A. Photographs of Trees



Tree 134 – 139



Tree 140 – 143



Tree 144 – 146



Tree 147 – 149



Tree 150 – 155



Tree 156



Tree 157 – 159



Tree 160 – 163



Tree 164 - 167



Tree 168 – 170



Tree 171 – 172



Tree 172 – 175



Tree 176



Tree 177 - 180



Tree 181 – 184



Tree 184 – 186



Tree 187 – 191



Tree 192



Tree 193 – 197



Tree 198



Tree 199 - 202



Tree 203 - 206



Tree 207 - 212



Tree 213



Tree 214 - 216



Tree 217 – 219



Tree 220 – 223



Tree 224 – 225



Tree 226



Tree 227 – 229



Tree 230 – 236



Tree 237 – 238



Tree 239 – 241



Tree 242